UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V.	0 11 1 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 2
	Arturo T. Sanders	Case No. 1:09-cr-00211-RJJ
	Dolladik	
	er conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, endant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings o	f Fact
_	he defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is	
_	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	6(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for
_	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	life imprisonment.
_	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	s or more is prescribed in:
		<u> </u>
_	a felony committed after the defendant had been convic U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	
_	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:	
	a minor victim	ative device or any other dengerous weapon
	the possession or use of a firearm or destru a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	clive device of any other dangerous weapon
(2) T	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the o	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	r local offense.	μετικών του επιστού μετικών θα του του επιστού κατά το επισ
	period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date ffense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	indings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that erson or the community. I further find that defendant has not	
	Alternative Finding	gs (A)
(1) T	here is probable cause to believe that the defendant has con	nmitted an offense
_	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is	s prescribed in:
	Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	<u>*</u>
<u> </u>	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established be defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.	
/ (1) T	Alternative Finding	gs (B)
	here is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
(2) 1	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	
1.6	Part II – Statement of the Reas	_
	nd that the testimony and information submitted at the detention appropriate appropriate and that the detention appropriate at the detention and that the testimony and the testimony are the testimony and the testimony and the testimony are the t	on hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
	ant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest dete	ntion at this time.
	ant is subject to a hold/detainer and would not be released in	
3. Defend	ant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the cou	rt's attention should his circumstances change.
	Dowt III Directions Downer	ling Detention

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	September 24, 2009	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	_
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	